SUPREME COURT MINUTES MONDAY, FEBRUARY 9, 2009 SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

S150402 H029345 Sixth Appellate District

SPIELBAUER (THOMAS) v. COUNTY OF SANTA CLARA

Opinion filed: Affirmed in part, reversed in part

Insofar as the Court of Appeal held that plaintiff must receive an affirmative offer of formal immunity from criminal use of his statements before he could be dismissed for disobeying his employer's orders to answer questions related to his job performance, its judgment is reversed. In all other respects, the judgment of the Court of Appeal is affirmed.

Opinion by: Baxter, J.

----joined by: George, C. J., Kennard, Werdegar, Chin, Moreno, and Corrigan, JJ.

S155589 C052177 Third Appellate District

MORONGO BAND OF MISSION INDIANS v. STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD

Opinion filed: Judgment reversed

Opinion by: Kennard, J.

----joined by: George, C. J., Baxter, Werdegar, Chin, Moreno, and Corrigan, JJ.

S168047

STRAUSS (KAREN L.) v. HORTON (MARK B.)/ (HOLLINGSWORTH)

Request for extended media coverage granted

The request for extended media coverage, filed by The Associated Press on February 9, 2009, is granted, subject to the conditions set forth in rule 1.150, California Rules of Court.

S168066

TYLER (ROBIN) v. STATE OF CALIFORNIA/ (HOLLINGSWORTH)

Request for extended media coverage granted

The request for extended media coverage, filed by The Associated Press on February 9, 2009, is granted, subject to the conditions set forth in rule 1.150, California Rules of Court.

S168078

SAN FRANCISCO, CITY & COUNTY OF v. HORTON (MARK B.)/ (HOLLINGSWORTH)

Request for extended media coverage granted

The request for extended media coverage, filed by The Associated Press on February 9, 2009, is granted, subject to the conditions set forth in rule 1.150, California Rules of Court.

S166101

BAKER ON DISCIPLINE

Order filed

The order filed on October 24, 2008, suspending SCOTT GREGORY BAKER from the practice of law is amended to substitute rule 9.20 of the California Rules of Court, in place of rule 955 of the California Rules of Court. The order is further amended to substitute the word "his" for the word "her" in the third sentence from the end of the order describing the requirement that respondent take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination. This order is entered nun pro tunc as of October 24, 2008, due to clerical error of the State Bar.

BAR MISC. 4186 IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF THE COMMITTEE OF BAR EXAMINERS OF THE STATE BAR OF CALIFORNIA FOR ADMISSION OF ATTORNEYS (MOTION NO. 855)

The written motion of the Committee of Bar Examiners that the following named applicants, who have fulfilled the requirements for admission to practice law in the State of California, be admitted to the practice of law in this state is hereby granted, with permission to the applicants to take the oath before a competent officer at another time and place:

(SEE ORIGINAL APPLICATION FOR THE LIST OF NAMES ATTACHED.)